arXiv:1605.08496v1 [quant-ph] 27 May 2016

All the separabilities of N-qubit Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger states in white noise

Xiao-yu Chen[a]*, Li-zhen Jiang[a], Zhu-an Xu[b]

[a]College of Information and Electronic Engineering, Zhejiang Gongshang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310018, China

[b]Department of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310027, China

Abstract

We demonstrate the necessary and sufficient criterion for an N qubit Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) state in white noise when it is divided into $N-j$ parties with $N \geq 2j+1$ for arbitrary N and j. The criterion covers more than a half of all the separabilities of N-qubit Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger states in white noise. For the rest of multipartite separable problems, we present a method to obtain the sufficient conditions of separability using linear programming.

PACS number(s): 03.67.Mn; 03.65.Ud

1 Introduction

The experimental preparations of multipartite entangled states [1] [2] [3] are typically the N qubit GHZ states. The imperfection and noise in the preparation is usually described by white noise. The resultant experiment state then is the mixture of N qubit GHZ state with white noise (noisy GHZ state).

Although the bipartite separable condition and the fully separable condition for N qubit noisy GHZ state are known [4] [5] [6], there are still many other partitions in between the bipartite and the N partite especially when N is large. The so called K-separable of a multipartite quantum state is that the state can be written in the form of the probability mixture of K-partite separable states, while in each term of the probability mixture the partition can be different from the other terms. For the case that a four qubit system is divided into three parties, if we denote the qubit as A, B, C, D,we have the partitions $AB|C|D$, $AC|B|D$, $AD|B|C$, $A|B|CD$, $A|C|BD$, $A|D|BC$. The tripartite separable state for partition $AB|C|D$ is $\rho_{AB|C|D} = \sum_i p_i \rho_{AB}^{(i)} \otimes \rho_C^{(i)} \otimes \rho_D^{(i)}$. The tripartite separable state of the four qubit system is the probability mixture of $\rho_{AB|C|D}$, $\rho_{AC|B|D}$, $\rho_{AD|B|C}$, $\rho_{A|B|CD}$, $\rho_{A|C|BD}, \rho_{A|D|BC}$. Hence the multipartite separable (K-separable) of a multipartite quantum state is much involved. However, a GHZ state is highly symmetric. The partition of an N qubit GHZ state is greatly simplified by the symmetry. In fact we just count the number of qubits in a party without considering which qubits are in the party. We can deal with a particular partition then extend the result to its symmetric partitions by permutations. The above six partitions of tripartite four qubit system are symmetric for the noisy GHZ state, we will denote them with partition $1|1|2$ (or $1^{|2}|2$ for short). The digital numbers describe the number of qubit in the parties. A relatively easy but still highly non-trivial case is the $N-1$ separable problem (We denote it as sub-full separable comparing to the full separable problem with N parties for the N qubit state). We will prove the necessary and sufficient conditions for the $N-1$ separability of N qubit noisy GHZ states in section III. The basic tool of detecting various kinds of entanglement is the entanglement witness [7] [8], which is described in section II. We will use the characteristic form of witness operator to find the optimal witness. In sections IV and V, the sufficient and necessary conditions are demonstrated for $N-j$ separability of N qubit noisy GHZ states when $N \geq 2j+1$. The sufficient conditions of all the separabilities for $N \leq 12$ will be shown in section VI as examples and the method is applicable to all the separable problems of N qubit noisy GHZ states.

2 Entanglement Witness

The witness of entanglement is an operator W such that $tr(\rho W) \geq 0$ for all separable state ρ and $tr(\rho W) < 0$ for at least one entangled state ρ. Denote W as $W = \lambda I - Q$, where I is the identity operator of the quantum system, thus we may define

$$\lambda = \max_{\rho_s \in SEP} tr(\rho_s Q), \tag{1}$$

such that the witness operator is tight enough, where SEP is the set of separable states for the specified partition(s). The noisy N qubit GHZ state is

$$\rho_{ghzN} = p \left|GHZ_N\right\rangle \left\langle GHZ_N\right| + \frac{1-p}{2^N} I_{2^N} \tag{2}$$

*Email:xychen@zjgsu.edu.cn

Where $|GHZ_N\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle^{\otimes N} + |1\rangle^{\otimes N})$. In the following, we will denote $|\chi\rangle\langle\chi|$ as $|\chi\rangle\langle\cdot|$ for short. The stabilizer of the $|GHZ_N\rangle$ is the operator Abel group created by the generators $\{K_1, \ldots, K_N\}$ such that $K|GHZ_N\rangle = |GHZ_N\rangle$ for any $K = K_1^{k_1} \cdots K_N^{k_N}$ with $k_j = 0, 1$. The generators are $K_1 = XX\cdots X$, $K_2 = ZZI\cdots I$, $K_3 = ZIZII\cdots I, \ldots,$ $K_N = ZII\cdots IZ$, with $X = \sigma_1, Y = \sigma_2, Z = \sigma_3$ being Pauli matrices and $I = \sigma_0$ is the 2×2 identity matrix. The characteristic function of ρ_{ghzN} is $R_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_N} = tr(\rho_{ghzN}\sigma_{i_1} \otimes \sigma_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{i_N})$. Hence $R_{00\ldots0} = 1$, $R_{00\ldots033} = p$, $R_{0\ldots03333} = p$, $R_{0\ldots0333333} = p$ and $R_{0\ldots03\ldots3} = p$ with even number of 3 in the subscript. All the terms obtained by subscript permutations are equal. This comes from the fact that $tr(\rho K) = p\langle GHZ_N|K|GHZ_N\rangle + \frac{1-p}{2^N}tr(K) = p + (1-p)\delta_{k_2 0}\cdots\delta_{k_N 0}$ for $K = K_2^{k_2}\cdots K_N^{k_N}$. The other nonzero terms are $R_{11\ldots122} = -p$, $R_{11\ldots12222} = p$ and $R_{11\ldots12\ldots2} = (-1)^j p$ for $2j$ number of 2 in the subscript. Also the subscript permutations do not change the values. This is due to $tr(\rho_N K) = p\langle GHZ_N|K|GHZ_N\rangle = p$ for $K = K_1 K_2^{k_2}\cdots K_N^{k_N}$. The total number of nonzero $R_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_N}$ is 2^N. All the other products of Pauli matrices are no longer in the stabilizer, they are in the cosets of the stabilizer. Applying an element in the coset of the stabilizer to the GHZ state will lead to an orthogonal state of the GHZ state. Thus the characteristic function values for coset operators are 0.

We choose the witness operator such that

$$Q = \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_N} L_{k_1\ldots k_N} K_1^{k_1}\cdots K_N^{k_N}. \tag{3}$$

Let $k = \sum_{j=2}^N k_j$, and we choose $L_{0k_2\ldots k_N}$ with $k = 1, 2$ to be equal and denoted with M_1, choose $L_{0k_2\ldots k_N}$ with $k = 3, 4$ to be equal and denoted with M_2, choose $L_{0k_2\ldots k_N}$ with $k = 2i-1, 2i$ to be equal and denoted with M_i. Let $L_{0\ldots0} = M_0 = 0$, $L_{1k_2\ldots k_N} = 1$,then we have

$$tr(\rho_{ghzN}Q) = p(\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor N/2\rfloor} M_i C_N^{2i} + 2^{N-1}). \tag{4}$$

with $C_N^{2i} = \frac{N!}{(N-2i)!(2i)!}$. If $tr(\rho_{ghzN}W) < 0$, namely $tr(\rho_{ghzN}Q) > \lambda$, then ρ_{ghzN} is entangled. Hence the necessary condition for the separability of ρ_{ghzN} with respect to some partitions is

$$p \le \frac{\lambda}{(\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor N/2\rfloor} M_i C_N^{2i} + 2^{N-1})}. \tag{5}$$

For the $N-1$ separability, we set

$$M_i = \frac{4i-N}{N-2} \tag{6}$$

with $i = 1, \ldots, \lfloor N/2\rfloor$. Notice that $\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor N/2\rfloor} M_i C_N^{2i} = \frac{2}{N-2}\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor N/2\rfloor} 2iC_N^{2i} - \frac{N}{N-2}\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor N/2\rfloor} C_N^{2i} = \frac{N}{N-2}$, we have the necessary condition

$$p \le \frac{\lambda}{2^{N-1} + \frac{N}{N-2}}. \tag{7}$$

3 $N-1$ separability

3.1 Necessary condition of $N-1$ separability

A separable state can always be written as the probability mixture of the pure separable states. For the maximum of $tr(\rho_s Q)$, we only need to consider the pure $N-1$ separable states. If the last two qubits are classified as a party, the $N-1$ separable pure state is $\rho_s = \varrho_1 \otimes \varrho_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varrho_{N-2} \otimes \varrho_{N-1,N}$ where $\varrho_i = \frac{1}{2}(I + x_i X + y_i Y + z_i Z)$ is the pure state of ith qubit, with $x_i^2 + y_i^2 + z_i^2 = 1$. For the operator Q in (3), we denote it as $Q = Q_0 + Q_1$, where Q_0 is the $k_1 = 0$ part and Q_1 is the $k_1 = 1$ part. We first consider the terms in Q_1, they are products of X and Y operators. We have

$$\begin{aligned} tr(\rho_s Q_1) &= tr(\rho_s \sum_{k_2,\ldots,k_N} K_1 K_2^{k_2}\cdots K_N^{k_N}) \\ &= \sum_{k_2,\ldots,k_N=0}^{1} (-i)^{k+k'} x_1^{1-k'} y_1^{k'} \prod_{i=2}^{N-2} x_i^{1-k_i} y_i^{k_i} \\ &\quad \times tr[\varrho_{N-1,N}(X^{1-k_{N-1}}Y^{k_{N-1}}) \\ &\quad \otimes (X^{1-k_N}Y^{k_N})], \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $k' = \mathrm{mod}(k, 2)$. Denote $x_i = \sin\theta_i\cos\varphi_i$, $y_i = \sin\theta_i\sin\varphi_i$, and $c = \prod_{i=1}^{N-2}\sin\theta_i\cos(\sum_{j=1}^{N-2}\varphi_j)$, $d = \prod_{i=1}^{N-2}\sin\theta_i\sin(\sum_{j=1}^{N-2}\varphi_j)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} tr(\rho_s Q_1) &= tr\{\varrho_{N-1,N}[c(XX - YY) \\ &\quad -d(XY + YX)]\}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The operator Q_0 is the summation of terms that are products of I and Z. We have

$$\begin{aligned} tr(\rho_s Q_0) &= tr(\rho_s \sum_{k_2,\ldots,k_N} L_{0k_2\ldots k_N} K_2^{k_2}\cdots K_N^{k_N}) \\ &= \sum_{k_2,\ldots,k_N=0}^{1} M_{\lceil k/2\rceil} z_1^{k'} \prod_{i=2}^{N-2} z_i^{k_i} \\ &\quad \times tr[\varrho_{N-1,N} Z^{k_{N-1}} Z^{k_N}]. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} tr(\rho_s Q_0) &= a_0 + a_1 tr(\varrho_{N-1,N} ZZ) \\ &\quad + b tr(\varrho_{N-1,N}(IZ + ZI)). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Where

$$a_0 = \sum_{k_2,\ldots,k_{N-2}=0}^{1} z_1^{k'''} \prod_{i=2}^{N-2} z_i^{k_i} M_{\lceil k''/2\rceil}, \quad (12)$$

$$a_1 = \sum_{k_2,\ldots,k_{N-2}=0}^{1} z_1^{k'''} \prod_{i=2}^{N-2} z_i^{k_i} M_{\lceil k''/2\rceil+1}, \quad (13)$$

$$b = \sum_{k_2,\ldots,k_{N-2}=0}^{1} M_{\lceil (k''+1)/2\rceil} z_1^{1-k'''} \prod_{i=2}^{N-2} z_i^{k_i}, \quad (14)$$

with $k'' = \sum_{j=2}^{N-2} k_j$, $k''' = \mathrm{mod}(k'', 2)$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\varrho_{N-1,N}$ to be a pure two qubit state, and denote it as $|\psi_{N-1,N}\rangle\langle\psi_{N-1,N}|$. Then $tr(\rho_s Q) = \langle\psi_{N-1,N}|\mathcal{M}|\psi_{N-1,N}\rangle$, with matrix

$$\mathcal{M} = a_0 + a_1 ZZ + b(IZ + ZI) + c(XX - YY) - d(XY + YX). \quad (15)$$

The maximum of $tr(\rho_s Q)$ over ρ_s is equal to the maximal eigenvalue of matrix $\mathcal{M}$. The eigenvalues of $\mathcal{M}$ are $\lambda_{1,2} = a_0 + a_1 \pm 2\sqrt{b^2+c^2+d^2}$, $\lambda_{3,4} = a_0 - a_1$. With the setting of M_i in (6), we obtain

$$\lambda_3 = \frac{N}{N-2} - \frac{2}{N-2}[\prod_{i=1}^{N-2}(1+z_i) + \prod_{i=1}^{N-2}(1-z_i)] \quad (16)$$

Notice that $z_i \in [-1,1]$, so that $\prod_{i=1}^{N-2}(1+z_i) \geq 0$, $\prod_{i=1}^{N-2}(1-z_i) \geq 0$. The maximum of λ_3 is

$$\max_{z_1,\ldots,z_{N-2}} \lambda_3 = \frac{N}{N-2}. \quad (17)$$

We may write λ_1 as

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{N}{N-2} + 2(a + \sqrt{b^2+c^2+d^2}). \quad (18)$$

Where $a = \frac{1}{2}(a_0 + a_1 - \frac{N}{N-2}) = \frac{1}{2}[\sum_{k_2,\ldots,k_{N-2}=0}^{1} z_1^{k'''} \prod_{i=2}^{N-2} z_i^{k_i}(M_{\lceil k''/2\rceil} + M_{\lceil k''/2\rceil+1}) - M_1] - 1$. It can be shown that $a + \sqrt{b^2+c^2+d^2} \leq 0$.

Lemma 1 *The maximum of λ_1 is*

$$\max_{z_1,\ldots,z_{N-2}} \lambda_1 = \frac{N}{N-2}. \quad (19)$$

Proof: This is equivalent to $a + \sqrt{b^2+c^2+d^2} \leq 0$. We should show that (i) $a \leq 0$, (ii) $a^2 - b^2 \geq c^2 + d^2$. Denote $S_i = \sum_{1\leq j_1<j_2<\ldots<j_i\leq N-2} \prod_{l=1}^{i} z_{j_l}$, then $a = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor\frac{N-2}{2}\rfloor} \frac{1}{2}(M_i + M_{i+1})S_{2i} - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor\frac{N-2}{2}\rfloor} \frac{4i+2-N}{N-2} S_{2i} - 1$, $b = \sum_{i=1}^{\lceil\frac{N-2}{2}\rceil} M_i S_{2i-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\lceil\frac{N-2}{2}\rceil} \frac{4i-N}{N-2} S_{2i-1}$. Let $u = \frac{1}{N-2}\sum_{j=1}^{N-2}\prod_{n=1}^{N-2}[1 + (-1)^{\delta_{j,n}} z_n]$, $v = \frac{1}{N-2}\sum_{j=1}^{N-2}\prod_{n=1}^{N-2}[1 - (-1)^{\delta_{j,n}} z_n]$. A direct calculation shows that $u = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-2}(1 - \frac{2i}{N-2})S_i$, $v = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-2}(1 - \frac{2i}{N-2})(-1)^i S_i$. Hence $\frac{1}{2}(u+v) = 1 - \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor\frac{N-2}{2}\rfloor}(\frac{4l+2-N}{N-2})S_{2l} = -a$ and $\frac{1}{2}(u-v) = \sum_{l=1}^{\lceil\frac{N-2}{2}\rceil}(1 - \frac{2(2l-1)}{N-2})S_{2l-1} = -b$. We arrive at (i) $a \leq 0$, since $u \geq 0$ and $v \geq 0$ due to $z_n \in [-1,1]$ for all n. We further have $a^2 - b^2 = uv = \frac{1}{(N-2)^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N-2}\sum_{j=1}^{N-2}(1-z_i)^2(1+z_j)^2\prod_{n=1,n\neq i,j}^{N-2}(1-z_n^2)$, meanwhile $c^2 - d^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{N-2}(1-z_i^2)$, thus we arrive at (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} & a^2 - b^2 - c^2 - d^2 \\ = & \frac{8}{(N-2)^2} \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq N-2} (z_i - z_j)^2 \prod_{n=1,n\neq i,j}^{N-2} (1 - z_i^2) \\ \geq & 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

■

We thus arrive at the conclusion of

$$\lambda \equiv \max_{\rho_s \in SEP_{N-1}} tr(\rho_s Q) = \frac{N}{N-2}. \quad (21)$$

Where SEP_{N-1} is the set of $N-1$ partite separable states of N qubits. The necessary condition for $N-1$ partite separability of N qubit noisy GHZ state is

$$p \leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{N-2}{N} 2^{N-1}}. \quad (22)$$

For 3 qubit GHZ state, we have $p \leq \frac{3}{7}$, which is known for biseparability of the noisy GHZ state.

3.2 Sufficient condition of the $N-1$ separability

The way of $tr(\rho_s Q)$ achieving its maximum $\lambda = \frac{N}{N-2}$ hints the sufficient condition. We consider the case that the maximum value of $tr(\rho_s Q)$ is achieved by the sub-full separable state with $z_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N-2$ such that every term in the second line of (20) is zero. Hence the pure state of the ith qubit is $\varrho_i = \frac{1}{2}(I + x_i X + y_i Y)$ with $x_i^2 + y_i^2 = 1$. We may assume $x_i = \cos\varphi_i$, $y_i = \sin\varphi_i$, then $\varrho_i = |\alpha_i\rangle\langle\alpha_i|$, where $|\alpha_i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + e^{i\varphi_i}|1\rangle)$. The state of the last two qubits is the eigenvector of $\mathcal{M}$ in (15) corresponding to eigenvalue λ_1. The eigenvector is

$$|\psi_{N-1,N}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + e^{-i\sum_{i=1}^{N-2}\varphi_i}|11\rangle). \quad (23)$$

The sub-full separable pure state is $|\Omega\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{N-1}}}\prod_{i=1}^{N-2}(|0\rangle + e^{i\varphi_i}|1\rangle)(|00\rangle + e^{-i\sum_{j=1}^{N-2}\varphi_j}|11\rangle)$. Thus we have the sub-full separable state $\rho_{s0} = \int |\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega| \prod_{i=1}^{N-2}\frac{d\varphi_i}{2\pi}$, which is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{s0} = & \frac{1}{2^{N-1}}[2|GHZ_N\rangle\langle\cdot| \\ & + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq\mathbf{0};k_1,\cdots,k_{N-2}=0,}^{1} (|k_1\cdots k_{N-2}00\rangle\langle\cdot| \\ & + |\overline{k_1}\cdots\overline{k_{N-2}}11\rangle\langle\cdot|)], \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where the binary string $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{N-2})$, $\overline{k_i} = 1 - k_i$. Averaging over all the cases of qubit permutations we arrive at a sub-full separable state

$$\rho_{s1} = \frac{1}{2^{N-1}}[2\left|GHZ_N\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right| + \frac{N-2}{N}(T_1 + T_{N-1}) + \sum_{i=2}^{N-2}\frac{C_{N-2}^i + C_{N-2}^{i-2}}{C_N^i}T_i]. \quad (25)$$

where $T_i = \sum_{k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_N=i}\left|k_1k_2\ldots k_N\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right|$. Notice that for $i = 2, \ldots, N-2$, we have $N > i+1$. The inequality $N > i+1$ leads to the inequality $\frac{C_{N-2}^i + C_{N-2}^{i-2}}{C_N^i} < \frac{N-2}{N}$. Denote $\widetilde{\rho_{s2}} = \sum_{i=2}^{N-2}(\frac{N-2}{N} - \frac{C_{N-2}^i + C_{N-2}^{i-2}}{C_N^i})\,T_i + \frac{N-2}{N}(T_0 + T_N)$, where $T_0 = \left|0^{\otimes N}\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right|$, $T_N = \left|1^{\otimes N}\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right|$. Then $\widetilde{\rho_{s2}}$ is an unnormalized full separable state. We have $\widetilde{\rho_s} = \rho_{s1} + \widetilde{\rho_{s2}} = \frac{1}{2^{N-1}}(2\left|GHZ_N\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right| + \frac{N-2}{N}I_{2^N})$ being an unnormalized sub-full separable state. The normalized sub-full separable state is

$$\rho_s = \frac{\widetilde{\rho_s}}{tr(\widetilde{\rho_s})} = \frac{\left|GHZ_N\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right|}{1 + \frac{N-2}{N}2^{N-1}} + \frac{\frac{N-2}{2N}}{1 + \frac{N-2}{N}2^{N-1}}I_{2^N}. \quad (26)$$

Hence, the noisy N qubit GHZ state is sub-fully separable iff

$$p \leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{N-2}{N}2^{N-1}}. \quad (27)$$

4 Sufficient conditions for $N-j$ separability

4.1 $N-2$ partite separability

For $N-2$ partite separability of the N qubit system, there are two kind of partitions. One is that the first $N-3$ parties have $N-3$ qubits, each party has one qubit, the last party has three qubits, we denote the partition as $1|1|\cdots|1|3$ or $1^{|(N-3)}|3$ for short. The other partition is that $N-4$ parties have $N-4$ qubits, each party has one qubit, the last two parties have four qubits, each has two qubits, we denote it as $1^{|(N-4)}|2^{|2}$. For the first partition, with the similar method of the last section, it can be shown that the sufficient condition of $N-2$ partite separability of N qubit noisy GHZ state is $p \leq (1 + \frac{N-3}{N}2^{N-1})^{-1}$. For the second partition, we have a pure separable state $\left|\Omega\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{N-2}}}\prod_{i=1}^{N-4}(\left|0\right\rangle + e^{i\varphi_i}\left|1\right\rangle)(\left|00\right\rangle + e^{i\varphi_t}\left|11\right\rangle)(\left|00\right\rangle + e^{-i(\varphi_t + \sum_{j=1}^{N-4}\varphi_j)}\left|11\right\rangle)$. The integral over the phase angles will lead to an $N-2$ partite separable state $\rho_{s3} = \int \left|\Omega\right\rangle\left\langle\Omega\right| \frac{d\varphi_t}{2\pi}\prod_{i=1}^{N-4}\frac{d\varphi_i}{2\pi}$, which is

$$\rho_{s3} = \frac{1}{2^{N-2}}[2\left|GHZ_N\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right| + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq\mathbf{0};k_1,\cdots,k_{N-4},k_t=0,}^{1}(\left|k_1\cdots k_{N-4}k_tk_t00\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right| + \left|\overline{k_1}\cdots\overline{k_{N-4}k_tk_t}11\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right|)], \quad (28)$$

where the binary string $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{N-4}, k_t)$.

Average over qubit permutations, we have the $N-2$ partite separable state $\rho_{s4} = \frac{1}{2^{N-2}}[2\left|GHZ_N\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right| + \frac{N-4}{N}(T_1 + T_{N-1}) + \frac{C_{N-4}^2+2}{C_N^2}(T_2 + T_{N-2}) + \frac{C_{N-4}^3+2C_{N-4}^1}{C_N^3}(T_3 + T_{N-3}) + \sum_{i=4}^{N-4}\frac{C_{N-4}^i+2C_{N-4}^{i-2}+C_{N-4}^{i-4}}{C_N^i}T_i]$. The inequality $\frac{C_{N-4}^i+2C_{N-4}^{i-2}+C_{N-4}^{i-4}}{C_N^i} < \frac{N-4}{N}$ for $N > i+3$ can be derived from the inequality $\frac{C_{N-2}^i+C_{N-2}^{i-2}}{C_N^i} < \frac{N-2}{N}$ for $N > i+1$. We also have $\frac{N-4}{N} \geq \frac{C_{N-4}^2+2}{C_N^2}$ and $\frac{N-4}{N} \geq \frac{C_{N-4}^3+2C_{N-4}^1}{C_N^3}$ for $N \geq 5$. Hence for $N \geq 5$, we add some full separable states to ρ_{s4} to make an unnormalized $N-2$ partite separable state $\widetilde{\rho_{s4}} = 2\left|GHZ_N\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right| + \frac{N-4}{N}I_{2^N}$. Then the $N-2$ partite separable state is $\rho_s = \frac{\widetilde{\rho_{s4}}}{tr\widetilde{\rho_{s4}}} = (1 + \frac{N-4}{N}2^{N-1})^{-1}\left|GHZ_N\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right| + (\frac{2N}{N-4} + 2^N)^{-1}I_{2^N}$. The noisy state ρ_{ghzN} ($N \geq 5$) is $N-2$ partite separable if

$$p \leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{N-4}{N}2^{N-1}}. \quad (29)$$

4.2 $N-j$ partite separability

For $N-3$ partite separability of N qubit system, there are three kinds of partitions: $1^{|(N-4)}|4$, $1^{|(N-5)}|2|3$ and $1^{|(N-6)}|2^{|3}$. The partition $1^{|(N-4)}|4$ yields $N-3$ separable condition $p \leq (1 + \frac{N-4}{N}2^{N-1})^{-1}$. The partition $1^{|(N-5)}|2|3$ yields condition $p \leq (1 + \frac{N-5}{N}2^{N-1})^{-1}$. The partition $1^{|(N-6)}|2^{|3}$ yields condition $p \leq (1 + \frac{N-6}{N}2^{N-1})^{-1}$. The procedure of derivation is similar to that in deriving the $N-2$ partite separable condition. We see that the condition can be written as $p \leq p_s = (1 + \frac{N-L}{N}2^{N-1})^{-1}$(see Appendix), where $N-L$ is the number of parties with single qubit. The less the number $N-L$, the larger the p_s, the better the sufficient condition. If the number of parties is fixed to be $N-j$, the smallest number of $N-L$ is $N-2j$. For $N-3$ partite separability, we have sufficient condition $p \leq (1 + \frac{N-6}{N}2^{N-1})^{-1}$with $N \geq 7$. For $N-j$ partite separability, we have sufficient condition

$$p \leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{N-2j}{N}2^{N-1}}, \quad (30)$$

where $N \geq 2j+1$. The sufficient condition is realized by the partition $1^{|(N-2j)}|2^{|j}$.

When $N = 2j+1$, we have a partition $1|2^{|j}$. For this partition, there is a pure separable state (in the sense of $N-j = j+1$ partite separable) $\left|\Omega\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{j+1}}}(\left|0\right\rangle + e^{-i\sum_{l=1}^{j}\varphi_l}\left|1\right\rangle)\prod_{i=1}^{j}(\left|00\right\rangle + e^{i\varphi_i}\left|11\right\rangle)$. The integral over the phase angles leads to an $N-j$ separable state $\rho_{s5} =$

$\int |\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega| \prod_{i=1}^{j} \frac{d\varphi_i}{2\pi}$, which is

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{s5} &= \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}[2|GHZ_{2j+1}\rangle\langle\cdot| \\ &+ \sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq\mathbf{0};k_1,\cdots,k_j=0,}^{1} (|0k_1k_1\cdots k_jk_j\rangle\langle\cdot| \\ &+ |1\overline{k_1k_1}\cdots\overline{k_jk_j}\rangle\langle\cdot|)]. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where the binary string $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_j)$. Averaging over qubit permutations yields the $j+1$ separable state

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{s6} &= \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}[2|GHZ_N\rangle\langle\cdot| + \frac{1}{2j+1}(T_1 + T_{2j} \\ &+ T_2 + T_{2j-1}) + \sum_{i=3}^{2j-2} \frac{C_j^{\lfloor i/2\rfloor}}{C_{2j+1}^{i}} T_i]. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

It can be proven that $\frac{C_j^{\lfloor i/2\rfloor}}{C_{2j+1}^{i}} \leq \frac{1}{2j+1}$, so that we obtain a $j+1$ separable state (unnormalized) state $\widetilde{\rho_{s6}} = 2|GHZ_{2j+1}\rangle\langle\cdot| + \frac{1}{2j+1} I_{2^{2j+1}}$ by adding some full separable to ρ_{s6}. The state ρ_{ghzN} with $N = 2j+1$ is a $j+1$ separable state if

$$p \leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{N}2^{N-1}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2j+1}2^{2j}}. \quad (33)$$

In figure 1, we display the $N-j$ partite separable conditions for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.



Figure 1: The entanglement properties of N-qubit GHZ states mixed with white noise, $\rho_N = p|GHZ_N\rangle\langle GHZ_N| + \frac{1-p}{2^N} I_{2^N}$. It was known before [4],[5] that these are fully separable iff $p \leq \frac{1}{1+2^{N-1}}$, these are biseparable iff $p \leq \frac{2^{N-1}-1}{2^N-1}$ [6]. They are shown with dot lines, up dot line for biseparability and down dot line for full separability. Our results show that these states are $N-1$ separable iff $p \leq \frac{1}{1+\frac{N-2}{N}2^{N-1}}$, $N-j$ separable if $p \leq \frac{1}{1+\frac{N-2j}{N}2^{N-1}}$, They are shown by solid lines for $N-j$ separability with $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ from bottom up. The dash lines are for j separability with $j = 3, 4, 5$ top down.

5 Necessary conditions of $N-j$ separability

5.1 An $N-L+1$ Partition

We consider a special partition $1^{|(N-L)}|L$ as the basis of necessary condition of $N-j$ separability. The partition can be seen as the generalization of $N-1$ partition (the case of $L=2$) we have discussed in previous sections.With the partition $1^{|(N-L)}|L$, an $N-L+1$ separable pure state is $\rho_s = \varrho_1 \otimes \varrho_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varrho_{N-L} \otimes \varrho_{N-L+1,\ldots,N}$, where ϱ_i $(i = 1, \ldots, N-L)$ is the pure state of ith qubit, $\varrho_{N-L+1,\ldots,N} \equiv |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ is the pure state for the last L qubits. In the computational basis, $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_L=0}^{1} \alpha_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_L} |i_1, i_2, \ldots i_L\rangle$. Then $tr(\rho_s Q_0) = \sum_{k_2,\ldots,k_N=0}^{1} M_{\lceil k/2\rceil} z_1^{k'} \prod_{i=2}^{N-L} z_i^{k_i} \langle\psi| Z^{k_{N-L+1}} \ldots Z^{k_{N-1}} Z^{k_N} |\psi\rangle$, which can be written as

$$tr(\rho_s Q_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor N/2\rfloor} M_i \sum_{n=n_1}^{n_2} S_{2i-n} q_n, \quad (34)$$

where $n_1 = \max\{0, 2i+L-N\}$, $n_2 = \min\{L, 2i\}$,and $q_n = \sum_{k_{N-L+1}+\cdots+k_N=n} \langle\psi| Z^{k_{N-L+1}} \ldots Z^{k_{N-1}} Z^{k_N} |\psi\rangle = \sum_{k_{N-L+1}+\cdots+k_N=n} \sum_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_L=0}^{1} |\alpha_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_L}|^2 (-1)^{\mathbf{i}\cdot\widetilde{\mathbf{k}}}$. Where $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_L)$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{k}} = (k_{N-L+1}, \cdots, k_N)$ are binary strings of length L. We may write q_n as $q_n = \sum_{l=0}^{L} \sum_{i_1+i_2+\ldots+i_L=l} |\alpha_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_L}|^2 w_{n,l}$ with

$$w_{n,l} = \sum_j (-1)^j C_{L-l}^{n-j} C_l^j, \quad (35)$$

the summation of j is from $\max\{0, n+l-L\}$ to $\min\{n, l\}$. However, we do not write the lower and up bound of summation explicitly as they are implied by the definition of combinatorial numbers C_{L-l}^{n-j} and C_l^j.

For the Q_1 part of witness, we have $tr(\rho_s Q_1) = \sum_{k_2,\ldots,k_N=0}^{1} (-i)^{k+k'} x_1^{1-k'} y_1^{k'} \prod_{i=2}^{N-2} x_i^{1-k_i} y_i^{k_i} \langle\psi| \bigotimes_{l=N-L+1}^{N} X^{1-k_l} Y^{k_l} |\psi\rangle$. Let $n = \sum_{l=N-L+1}^{N} k_l$, Denote $\mathcal{X} = \sum_{n|even} (-1)^{n/2} \bigotimes_{l=N-L+1}^{N} X^{1-k_l} Y^{k_l}$, $\mathcal{Y} = \sum_{n|odd} (-1)^{(n-1)/2} \bigotimes_{l=N-L+1}^{N} X^{1-k_l} Y^{k_l}$, then

$$tr(\rho_s Q_1) = \langle\psi| c\mathcal{X} - d\mathcal{Y} |\psi\rangle, \quad (36)$$

where $c = \prod_{i=1}^{N-L} \sin\theta_i \cos(\sum_{j=1}^{N-L} \varphi_j)$, $d = \prod_{i=1}^{N-L} \sin\theta_i \sin(\sum_{j=1}^{N-L} \varphi_j)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\psi| \mathcal{X} |\psi\rangle &= 2^{L-1}(\alpha_{0^L}\alpha_{1^L}^* + \alpha_{0^L}^*\alpha_{1^L}), \quad (37) \\ \langle\psi| \mathcal{Y} |\psi\rangle &= i2^{L-1}(\alpha_{0^L}\alpha_{1^L}^* - \alpha_{0^L}^*\alpha_{1^L}), \quad (38)\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_{0^L} = \alpha_{00\ldots0}, \alpha_{1^L} = \alpha_{11\ldots1}$. We have used that $\left\langle 0^{L-l}1^l\right| \mathcal{X} \left|1^{L-l}0^l\right\rangle = 0$ if $l \neq 0$ and $l \neq L$. This is due to fact that for each term in $\mathcal{X}$ if there are odd number of Y in the last l qubits, then there are odd number of Y in the first $L-l$ qubits too, so that a new factor of -1 emerges for such a term. The probabilities of odd and even number of Y in the last l qubits are equal. The null result is also true for $\mathcal{Y}$ when $l \neq 0$ and $l \neq L$.

We may write $tr(\rho_s Q) = tr(\rho_s Q_0) + tr(\rho_s Q_1) = \langle\psi| \mathcal{M} |\psi\rangle$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is a $2^L \times 2^L$ matrix with all of its non-diagonal entries nullified except the entries of $\left|0^L\right\rangle\left\langle 1^L\right|$ and $\left|1^L\right\rangle\left\langle 0^L\right|$. The maximum of $tr(\rho_s Q)$ then is the maximal eigenvalue of $\mathcal{M}$. The diagonal part of $\mathcal{M}$ comes from $tr(\rho_s Q_0)$,which is shown in equation (34) and can be further written as $tr(\rho_s Q_0) = S_0 \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor L/2\rfloor} M_i q_{2i}$ $+ \sum_{m=1}^{\lceil (N-L)/2\rceil} S_{2m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\lceil L/2\rceil} M_{i+m-1} q_{2i-1}$ $+ \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor (N-L)/2\rfloor} S_{2m} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor L/2\rfloor} M_{i+m} q_{2i}$ by changing the order of summations. Denote $tr(\rho_s Q_0) = \sum_{l=0}^{L} \sum_{i_1+i_2+\ldots+i_L=l} |\alpha_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_L}|^2 \Gamma_l$, the diagonal elements of matrix $\mathcal{M}$ are $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}}$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}} = \Gamma_{|\mathbf{i}|}$, with $|\mathbf{i}| = \sum_{j=1}^{L} i_j$. Then we have the diagonal elements

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_l &= S_0 \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor L/2\rfloor} M_i w_{2i,l} + \sum_{m=1}^{\lceil (N-L)/2\rceil} S_{2m-1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i=1}^{\lceil L/2\rceil} M_{i+m-1} w_{2i-1,l} \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor (N-L)/2\rfloor} S_{2m} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor L/2\rfloor} M_{i+m} w_{2i,l}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

For $l = 0, L$, we have $w_{i,0} = C_L^i$, $w_{i,L} = (-1)^i C_L^i$. Suppose we choose

$$M_i = \frac{4i - N}{N - L}. \quad (40)$$

Then $\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor L/2\rfloor} M_i C_L^{2i} = \frac{N}{N-L} - 2^{L-1}$; $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor L/2\rfloor} M_{i+m} C_L^{2i} = \frac{2^{L-1}}{N-L}[4m - (N-L)]$; $\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil L/2\rceil} M_{i+m-1} C_L^{2i-1} = \frac{2^{L-1}}{N-L}[4m - 2 - (N-L)]$. The submatrix of $\mathcal{M}$ for the computational basis $\left|0^L\right\rangle$ and $\left|1^L\right\rangle$ is

$$\mathcal{M}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_0 & 2^{L-1}(c - id) \\ 2^{L-1}(c + id) & \Gamma_L \end{bmatrix} \quad (41)$$

with $\Gamma_0 = \frac{N}{N-L} + 2^{L-1}(a+b)$, $\Gamma_L = \frac{N}{N-L} + 2^{L-1}(a+b)$, where $a = -1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor (N-L)/2\rfloor} \frac{[4m-(N-L)]}{N-L} S_{2m}$, $b = \sum_{m=1}^{\lceil (N-L)/2\rceil} \frac{[4m-2-(N-L)]}{N-L} S_{2m-1}$. Due to almost the same proof in Lemma 1, the maximal eigenvalue of $\mathcal{M}_0$ is

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{N}{N - L}. \quad (42)$$

The other eigenvalues of $\mathcal{M}$ are just the other diagonal elements. Hence we should deal with the case of $l \neq 0, L$. From the definition of $w_{n,l}$ in (35), it is not difficult to show that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor L/2\rfloor} w_{2i,l} = 0, \sum_{i=1}^{\lceil L/2\rceil} w_{2i-1,l} = 0, \quad (43)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor L/2\rfloor} i w_{2i,l} = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ for } 1 < l < L-1 \\ -2^{L-3}, \text{ for } l = 1, L-1 \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil L/2\rceil} i w_{2i-1,l} = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ for } 1 < l < L-1 \\ -2^{L-3}, \text{ for } l = 1 \\ 2^{L-3}, \text{ for } l = L-1 \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

Using (40), we have $\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor L/2\rfloor} M_i w_{2i,l} = \frac{N}{N-L} - \frac{2^{L-1}}{N-L}(\delta_{l,1} + \delta_{l,L-1})$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil L/2\rceil} M_{i+m-1} w_{2i-1,l} = \frac{2^{L-1}}{N-L}(-\delta_{l,1} + \delta_{l,L-1})$, $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor L/2\rfloor} M_{i+m} w_{2i,l} = -\frac{2^{L-1}}{N-L}(\delta_{l,1} + \delta_{l,L-1})$. From (45), for $1 < l < L-1$, we have $\Gamma_l = \frac{N}{N-L}$; For $l = 1$, we arrive at $\Gamma_1 = \frac{N}{N-L} - \frac{2^{L-1}}{N-L} \sum_{m=0}^{N-L} S_m = \frac{N}{N-L} - \frac{2^{L-1}}{N-L} \prod_{m=1}^{N-L}(1 + z_m) \leq \frac{N}{N-L}$, and $\Gamma_L = \frac{N}{N-L} - \frac{2^{L-1}}{N-L} \prod_{m=1}^{N-L}(1 - z_m) \leq \frac{N}{N-L}$. Thus we have proved that all the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{M}$ are up bounded by $\frac{N}{N-L}$. The up bound is achievable. Hence for our choice of the witness in (40), the biggest eigenvalue of the matrix $\mathcal{M}$, thus the maximum of $tr(\rho_s Q)$ is $\frac{N}{N-L}$ for the partition $1^{|(N-L)}|L$. The M_i in (40) yields $\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor N/2\rfloor} M_i C_N^{2i} = \frac{N}{N-L}$. The necessary condition of separability is

$$\begin{aligned} p &\leq \frac{\max tr(\rho_s Q)}{\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor N/2\rfloor} M_i C_N^{2i} + 2^{N-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{N-L}{N} 2^{N-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

for the partition $1^{|(N-L)}|L$.

5.2 Further splits of the last L qubits

We consider further splits of the last L qubits in the partition $1^{|(N-L)}|L$. Keep in mind that we have exhausted all the single qubit partite into the $1^{|(N-L)}$, the split of the last L qubits do not produce new single qubit partite. The smallest piece from the further split of the last L qubits is a two qubit partite. One the other hand, we have noticed that the maximum of $tr(\rho_s Q)$ do not increase by the split of the last L qubits. This is due to the fact that when we split the pure state of the last L qubits $|\psi\rangle$ into a product of pure states, the matrix $\mathcal{M}$ does not change since we only change the last part of ρ_s and do not change the state of the first $N-L$ qubits and the operator Q. The maximal eigenvalue of $\mathcal{M}$ is achieved when $|\psi\rangle$ is the corresponding eigenvector, namely $\langle\psi| \mathcal{M} |\psi\rangle \geq \langle\psi'| \mathcal{M} |\psi'\rangle$ for all the other state $|\psi'\rangle$ including the product state produced by the split of the last L qubits.

For the $N-j$ $(N \geq 2j+1)$ partite separability, we first distribute each partite with one qubit and we remain j qubits at hand. Then we have many strategies

to distribute the remain qubits. The best way to decrease the number of single qubit parties is to distribute the remain j qubits to j parties, the resultant partition is $1^{|(N-2j)}|2^{|j}$. The maximum of $tr(\rho_s Q)$ for the partition $1^{|(N-2j)}|2^{|j}$ with the witness (40) is less than or equal to the maximum of $tr(\rho_s Q)$ for the partition $1^{|(N-2j)}|2j$, the later is $\frac{N}{N-2j}$. The necessary condition of separability for partition $1^{|(N-2j)}|2^{|j}$ then is $p \leq p_0$ where $p_0 \leq (1+\frac{N-2j}{N}2^{N-1})^{-1}$. However, we have shown in the previous section that for partition $1^{|(N-2j)}|2^{|j}$ the state ρ_{ghzN} with $p \leq (1+\frac{N-2j}{N}2^{N-1})^{-1}$ is separable. Hence $p_0 = (1+\frac{N-2j}{N}2^{N-1})^{-1}$. The necessary and sufficient condition of separability of ρ_{ghzN} for partition $1^{|(N-2j)}|2^{|j}$ is

$$p \leq \frac{1}{1+\frac{N-2j}{N}2^{N-1}}. \tag{47}$$

Which is also the necessary and sufficient condition of $N-j$ ($N \geq 2j+1$) partite separability of the white noisy N qubit GHZ state ρ_{ghzN}.

6 Other K-separability

There are many K-separability noisy GHZ states that can not be fit into the former regime of $N-j$ separability or biseparability. The first case is the triseparability of noisy GHZ states for $N \geq 6$. The partitions are $1|2|3$ and $2^{|3}$ for $N=6$. These partitions give rise to the tripartite states: $\rho_{1|2|3}, \rho_{2^{|3}} = \frac{1}{2^3}(2\left|GHZ_6\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right| + \sum_{i=1}^{5} v_i \frac{T_i}{C_6^i})$, where $\mathbf{v} = (1,1,2,1,1)$ for $\rho_{1|2|3}$ and $\mathbf{v} = (0,3,0,3,0)$ for $\rho_{2^{|3}}$, respectively. Consider the mixture of this two kinds of partitions, the mixed state is $\rho_{s0} = q\rho_{1|2|3} + (1-q)\rho_{2^{|3}}$. We then have $\rho_{s0} = \frac{1}{2^3}(2\left|GHZ_6\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right| + \sum_{i=1}^{5} u_i \frac{T_i}{C_6^i})$, where $\mathbf{u} = (q, q+3(1-q), 2q, q+3(1-q), q)$. Let $u_1/C_6^1 = u_2/C_6^2 \geq u_3/C_6^3$, then $q = \frac{2}{3}$. Denote the coefficient of T_1 as $\frac{1}{\tau}$, then $\tau = 9$. The tripartite separable sufficient condition is

$$p \leq \frac{1}{1+2^5/\tau} = \frac{9}{41}. \tag{48}$$

To find the mixed state that has maximal τ, we need to solve the linear programming problem. This is because the mixture probability is positive and we let $u_1/C_N^1 = u_2/C_N^2 = \cdots = u_i/C_N^i \geq u_{i+j}/C_N^{i+j}$ to optimize τ, for some $i \leq \lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil$ and all positive $j \leq \lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil - i$.

For $N \leq 12$, we list all these mixed optimal states in Table I.

Table I. The K-separability of $N = 6, ..., 12$

N	K	*partitions*	$\tau \cdot prob.$	τ	p_s
6	3	$2^{\|3}, 1\|2\|3$	$3, 6$	9	$\frac{9}{41}$
7	3	$2^{\|2}\|3, 1\|3^{\|2}$	$10.5, 7$	17.5	$\frac{35}{163}$
8	3	$1\|3\|4, 2\|3^{\|2}, 2^{\|2}\|4$	$8, 24, 2$	34	$\frac{17}{81}$
8	4	$1\|2^{\|2}\|3, 2^{\|4}$	$8, 3$	11	$\frac{11}{139}$
9	3	$1\|4^{\|2}, 2\|3\|4, 3^{\|3}$	$16, 36, 9$	61	$\frac{61}{327}$
9	4	$1\|2\|3^{\|2}, 2^{\|3}\|3$	$9, 9$	18	$\frac{9}{137}$
10	3	$1\|4\|5, 2\|4^{\|2}, 3^{\|2}\|4$	$10, 45, 60$	115	$\frac{115}{627}$
10	4	$1\|3^{\|3}, 2^{\|2}\|3^{\|2}$	$10, 22.5$	32.5	$\frac{65}{1089}$
10	5	$1\|2^{\|3}\|3, 2^{\|5}$	$10, 3$	13	$\frac{13}{525}$
11	3	$3\|4^{\|2}, 2\|4\|5,$ $3^{\|2}\|5, 1\|5^{\|2}$	$137.5, 55,$ $13.75, 11$	$\frac{869}{4}$	$\frac{869}{4965}$
11	4	$2^{\|2}\|3\|4, 2\|3^{\|3},$ $1\|3^{\|2}\|4$	$4.4, 46.2,$ 11	61.6	$\frac{77}{1375}$
11	5	$2^{\|4}\|3, 1^{\|2}\|3^{\|3}$	$11, 11$	22	$\frac{11}{523}$
12	3	$4^{\|3}, 3\|4\|5,$ $2\|5^{\|2}, 1\|5\|6$	$\frac{275}{3}, 220,$ $66, 12$	$\frac{1169}{3}$	$\frac{1169}{7313}$
12	4	$3^{\|4}, 2^{\|2}\|4^{\|2},$ $1\|3\|4^{\|2}$	$55, 33,$ 12	100	$\frac{25}{537}$
12	5	$1\|2\|3^{\|3}, 2^{\|3}\|3^{\|2}$	$12, 18$	30	$\frac{15}{1039}$
12	6	$1\|2^{\|4}\|3, 2^{\|6}$	$12, 3$	15	$\frac{15}{2063}$

7 Conclusion

The mixture an N qubit GHZ state with white noise is almost the simplest model for the evolution of a graph state hence quantum stabilizer code passing through a quantum channel, also it might be the actual state realized when preparing multi-qubit GHZ state. We have strictly proven the necessary and sufficient condition for $N-j$ partite separability of an N qubit GHZ state for arbitrary N when $N \geq 2j+1$. The necessary and sufficient condition is achieved by a partition (up to qubit permutations) with j qubit pairs in j parties and $N-2j$ qubits in $N-2j$ parties. The $N-j$ separability condition is $p \leq (1+\frac{N-2j}{N}2^{N-1})^{-1}$, where p is the percentage of pure GHZ state and $1-p$ is the white noise percentage in the noisy GHZ state. This gives rise to the necessary and sufficient conditions for more than a half of the K-separability of multi-qubit noisy GHZ states. For all the other K-separable problems of noisy multi-qubit GHZ states, we find that the sufficient conditions are achieved by the mixtures of the partitions with the same K (number of parties) but different qubit number distributions. We display all the separabilities of N qubit noisy GHZ states for $N \leq 12$. The problem for sufficient conditions is solved up to a linear programming problem.

Acknowledgment

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11375152) and (partially) supported

by National Basic Research Program of China (Grant No. 2014CB921203) are gratefully acknowledged.

Appendix

We consider the separable condition of the state ρ_{ghzN} for partition $1|\widetilde{L}$, where $\widetilde{L}$ is a set of $N-1$qubits that splits into $J-1$ ($J\geq 2$) parties. Suppose with respect to the partition $1|\widetilde{L}$ there is a separable state $\rho_{s7}=\frac{1}{2^J}[2\left|GHZ_N\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\frac{f_1(i)}{C_N^i}T_i]$, with $\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}f_1(i)=2^J-2$, $f_1(i)=f_1(N-i)$, $f_1(1)\leq N$ and $0\leq f_1(i)\leq\frac{f_1(1)}{N}C_N^i$ for all $i\in[1,N-1]$. We may add some fully separable states to ρ_{s7} to form an unnormalized state $\widetilde{\rho_{s7}}=2\left|GHZ_N\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right|+\frac{f_1(1)}{N}I_{2^N}$. The state is a ρ_{ghzN} state, it is separable with respect to the partition $1|\widetilde{L}$ when $p\leq(1+\frac{f_1(1)}{N}2^{N-1})^{-1}$. Now we consider the partition $1^{|2}|\widetilde{L}$, which has $N+1$ qubits. The separable state produced will be $\rho_{s8}=\frac{1}{2^{J+1}}[2\left|GHZ_N\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{f_2(i)}{C_{N+1}^i}T_i]$, where $f_2(i)=f_1(i)+f_1(i-1)$ for $i\in[1,N]$ and we assume $f_1(0)=f_1(N)=1$ for convenience. Then for $i\in[2,N-1]$, we have $f_2(i)\leq\frac{f_1(1)}{N}(C_N^i+C_N^{i-1})=\frac{f_1(1)}{N}C_{N+1}^i\leq\frac{f_1(1)+1}{N+1}C_{N+1}^i=\frac{f_2(1)}{N+1}C_{N+1}^i$. While $f_2(1)=f_2(N)=\frac{f_2(1)}{N+1}C_{N+1}^1$. Thus for $i\in[1,N]$, we obtain $f_2(i)\leq\frac{f_2(1)}{N+1}C_{N+1}^i$. Hence with respect to $1^{|2}|\widetilde{L}$, we obtain a noisy GHZ state of $N+1$ qubits, it is separable when $p\leq(1+\frac{f_1(1)+1}{N+1}2^N)^{-1}$. By recursion, we can obtain the sufficient condition of $1^{|l}|\widetilde{L}$ for $l\geq 2$ from the sufficient condition of partition $1|\widetilde{L}$.

Consider the bipartition $1|(N-1)$. Taking integral over the phase angle of the pure separable state is $|\Omega\rangle=\frac{1}{2}(|0\rangle+e^{i\varphi}|1\rangle)(\left|0^{N-1}\right\rangle+e^{-i\varphi}\left|1^{N-1}\right\rangle)$ and averaging over qubit permutations, we will have the separable state $\rho_{s8}=\frac{1}{4}[2\left|GHZ_N\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\frac{f_1(i)}{C_N^i}T_i]$, where $f_1(1)=f_1(N-1)=1$ and $f_1(i)=0$ for $i=2,\ldots,N-2$. We have $\frac{f_1(i)}{C_N^i}\leq\frac{1}{N}$ for $i\in[1,N-1]$, the unnormalize separable state $\widetilde{\rho_{s9}}=2\left|GHZ_N\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right|+\frac{1}{N}I_{2^N}$ follows. By recursion, we have the sufficient condition of separability $p\leq(1+\frac{N-L}{N}2^{N-1})^{-1}$for the state ρ_{ghzN} with partition $1^{|(N-L)}|L$.

Consider the tripartition $1|N_1|N_2$ with $1+N_1+N_2=N$ and $2\leq N_1\leq N_2$ is assumed, we will have the separable state $\rho_{s9}=\frac{1}{8}[2\left|GHZ_N\right\rangle\left\langle\cdot\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\frac{f_1(i)}{C_N^i}T_i]$, where (i) $f_1(N_1)=f_1(N_1+1)=f_1(N_2)=f_1(N_2+1)=1$ when $N_2>N_1+1$; (ii) $f_1(N_1)=f_1(N_1+1)=2$ when $N_2=N_1$;(iii) $f_1(N_1)=f_1(N_1+2)=1$, $f_1(N_1+1)=2$ when $N_2=N_1+1$; and $f_1(1)=f_1(N-1)=1$ meanwhile $f_1(i)=0$ for all the other $i\in[2,N-2]$. For all the three cases we can show that $\frac{f_1(i)}{C_N^i}\leq\frac{1}{N}$ for $i\in[1,N-1]$. For case (i), we have $f_1(i)\leq 1$ and $C_N^i\geq N$ when $i\in[1,N-1]$. For case (ii), we have $N_1=N_2$, $N=2N_1+1$. We need to show $\frac{2}{C_N^{(N-1)/2}}\leq\frac{1}{N}$. It is true as far as $N\geq 5$. Notice that we have assumed $2\leq N_1\leq N_2$, thus $N=1+N_1+N_2\geq 5$. For case (iii), we have $N_1=N_2-1$, $N=2N_2$. We should prove $\frac{2}{C_N^{N/2}}\leq\frac{1}{N}$. It is true as far as $N\geq 6$. Since $N_1\geq 2$, $N_2=N_1+1\geq 3$, thus $N=1+N_1+N_2\geq 6$.

If we have a partition $1|\widetilde{L}$ of N qubit system, then there is separable state ρ_{s7} with proper defined $f_1(i)$. We now consider a partition $1|\widetilde{L}|l$ of $N+l$ qubit system with $l\geq 2$. The separable state for this partition can be described by $f_2(i)=f_1(i)+f_1(i-l)$ for $i\in[l,N]$ and $f_2(i)=f_1(i)$ for all the other i. We want show that $f_2(i)/C_{N+l}^i\leq f_2(1)/(N+l)$ for $i\in[2,N+l-2]$. Apparently, we only need to show for $i\in[l,N]$. We have $f_2(i)=f_1(i)+f_1(i-l)\leq\frac{f_1(1)}{N}(C_N^i+C_N^{i-l})$. We have $f_2(1)=f_1(1)$ for $l\geq 2$, thus what we need to show is

$$\frac{1}{N}(C_N^i+C_N^{i-l})\leq\frac{1}{N+l}C_{N+l}^i.$$

The inequality can be analytically proven for $l=2,3$. We have numerically checked that the inequality is true for $4\leq l\leq 100$. We conclude that for a partition with at least one partite with single qubit, the sufficient condition for separability of ρ_{ghzN} is

$$p\leq(1+\frac{N-L}{N}2^{N-1})^{-1}$$

for the state ρ_{ghzN} with partition $1^{|(N-L)}|\widehat{L}$, with $\widehat{L}$ being the set of L qubits split into some parties, each party has two or more qubits. $\widehat{L}$ does not contain single qubit partite.

References

[1] T. Monz, P. Schindler, J. T. Barreiro, M. Chwalla ,et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 130506 (2011).

[2] X. C. Yao, T. X. Wang, P. Xu, H. Lu, et al., Nature Photonics **6**, 225 (2012).

[3] P. Neumann, N. Mizuochi , F. Rempp, P. Hemmer ,et al., Science ,**320**, 1326 (2008).

[4] W. Dür and I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 042314 (2000).

[5] R. Schack and C. M. Caves, J. Mod. Opt. **47**, 387 (2000).

[6] O. Gühne and M.Seevinck, New J. Phys. **12**, 053002(2010) .

[7] B. M. Terhal, Phys. Lett. A **271**, 319 (2000).

[8] O. Gühne and G. Tóth, Phys. Rep. **474**, 1(2009).